

Message Text

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 01 SANTIA 05574 261809Z
ACTION SNM-05

INFO OCT-01 ARA-14 ISO-00 L-03 PPTE-00 CA-01 SCS-06
CIAE-00 INR-10 IO-14 JUSE-00 NSAE-00 CTME-00
TRSE-00 ICA-20 NSC-05 OES-09 OMB-01 /089 W
-----069235 271933Z /64

R 261729Z JUL 78
FM AMEMBASSY SANTIAGO
TO SECSTATE WASHDC 9972
INFO DEA HQS WASHDC

UNCLAS SANTIAGO 5574

HQS FOR R. EYMAN, DNA; STATE ATTN: P. WOODRING, S/NM AND R. STEVEN,
ARA;

FROM A/SAIC CASTILLO

E. O. 11652: N/A
TAGSC CI, SNAR
SUBJECT: NARCOTICS LAWS

REF: A) STATE 170187 (R 061446Z JUL 78) B) 77 SANTIAGO 2375

1. POSSESSION

A. IN TERMS OF PENALTIES, CHILEAN NARCOTICS LAW MAKES
AN IMPORTANT DISTINCTION BETWEEN DRUGS IT CONSIDERS (1) VERY
HARMFUL (HEROIN, COCAINE, HCL, BASE/SULFATE, COCA LEAVES,
AMPHETAMINES, BARBITURATES, OPIUM, MORPHINE, MORPHINE BASE
AND HALLUCINOGENS) AND (2) MARIJUANA, WHICH IS PLACED IN A
CATEGORY OF "DRUGS THAT DO NOT PRODUCE GRAVE TOXIC EFFECTS OR
CONSIDERABLE HARM TO PUBLIC HEALTH." WHILE PENALTIES FOR
POSSESSION IN BOTH CATEGORIES OF DRUGS RANGE FROM A MINIMUM
SENTENCE OF FIVE YEARS AND ONE DAY TO A MAXIMUM OF 15 YEARS,
PLUS NOMINAL FINES RENGING FROM US \$176.49 TO US \$1,764.93,
CHILEAN JUDGES HAVE CONSIDERABLE FLEXIBILITY IN REDUCING THE
SENTENCE FOR THE SECOND CATEGORY (MARIJUANA) IF IT IS ESTABLISHED

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 02 SANTIA 05574 261809Z

THAT THE DRUG WAS FOR PERSONAL USE. IN DETERMINING PERSONAL
CONSUMPTION, THE JUDGE WEIGHS SUCH FACTORS AS THE QUANTITY OF
MARIJUANA SEIZED, THE CIRCUMSTANCES UNDER WHICH IT WAS SEIZED,
AND MEDICAL REPORTS. SHOULD THE OFFENDER ESTABLISH THE MARIJUANA
WAS FOR PERSONAL CONSUMPTION, THE JUDGE THEN SETS THE SENTENCE
AT BETWEEN 61 AND 540 DAYS. THE JUDGE MAY ALSO REFER THE OFFENDER
TO A REHABILITATION CENTER FOR TREATMENT. NO SUCH FLEXIBILITY IS

EXERCISED FOR CATEGORY (1) DRUGS.

B. AMOUNT: THERE IS NO QUALIFYING AMOUNT FOR OPERATION OF OFFENSE.

C. PENALTIES: PENALTIES FOR POSSESSION ARE THE SAME AS OTHER NARCOTICS OFFENSES DUE TO THE FACT GOC CONSIDERS POSSESSION TO BE EQUAL TO TRAFFICKING.

2. TRAFFICKING

A. CONSOLIDATION: CHILEAN LAW CONSOLIDATES ALL CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES IN A GENERAL TRAFFICKING OFFENSE EXCEPT AS NOTED IN (1-A) ABOVE.

B. PENALTIES: THE MINIMUM PENALTY FOR CATEGORY (1) DRUGS IS 5 YEARS AND 1 DAY -- NO MAXIMUM ALTHOUGH IN PRACTICE, FIRST OFFENDERS RARELY RECEIVE MORE THAN 15 YEARS. BAIL IN MOST INSTANCES IS AVAILABLE AT JUDGE'S DISCRETION TAKING INTO CONSIDERATION PRIOR CRIMINAL RECORD OF SUSPECT, AMOUNT OF NARCOTICS INVOLVED, ETC. AGAIN, DEPENDING ON DETAILS OF CASE, PRIOR CRIMINAL RECORD OF SUSPECT, ETC., RELEASE AFTER SERVING 1/2 OF SENTENCE IS POSSIBLE THOUGH RARE.

C. ELEMENTS: MERE POSSESSION, SALE, CULTIVATION, AND/OR EXCHANGE OF DRUG, ETC., CONSTITUTE OFFENSE OR TRAFFICKING.

D. CONSPIRACY: THERE IS A CONSPIRACY CLAUSE IN CHILEAN LAW. THIS CLAUSE IS THE ONLY ONE OF ITS KIND IN CHILEAN LAW AND IS GENERALLY SIMILAR TO U.S. FEDERAL LAW. PENALTIES BY CUSTOM ARE GENERALLY LESS SEVERE THAN FOR OTHER NARCOTICS OFFENSES. (APPROXIMATELY 3-5 YEARS)
UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 03 SANTIA 05574 261809Z

3. CULTIVATION, PRODUCTION, ELABORATION

A. CULTIVATION OF CANNABIS, COCA AND OPIUM POPPIES IS PROHIBITED UNDER CHILEAN LAW.

B. OT APPLICABLE.

C. PENALTIES FOR ELABORATION OF SUBSTANCES ARE DESCRIBED IN (1-A)

4. FINANCIAL

A. FINANCIAL SUPPORT: DEPENDING ON DETAILS OF THE CASE, FINANCIAL SUPPORT FOR CULTIVATION, ELABORATION, ETC., COULD BE CONSIDERED TRAFFICKING OR CONSPIRACY TO TRAFFICK.

B. CURRENCY CONTROL LAWS: THERE ARE CURRENCY CONTROL LAWS ON EXPORT OF CURRENCY AND FOREIGN BANK ACCOUNTS ETC. AT PRESENT THEY ARE DIFFICULT TO ENFORCE BECAUSE GOC PERMITS ANY CITIZEN TO PURCHASE UP TO \$1,500.00 (USC) PER MONTH. DETAILS OF RESTRICTIONS BEING RESEARCHED AND WILL FOLLOW UPON COMPLETION.

5. CRIMINAL PROCEDURE

A. INVESTIGATIVE DETENTION: BY LAW, SUSPECT MUST BE TAKEN BEFORE JUDGE WITHIN 24 HOURS FOLLOWING ARREST. (FOLLOWING MONDAY IF DETAINED FRIDAY EVENING). IN PRACTICE, SUSPECT MAY

BE HELD 2 OR 3 DAYS PRIOR TO BEING TAKEN BEFORE JUDGE. (IN THIS INSTANCE, NO CONSULAR ACCESS WOULD BE PERMITTED). NORMALLY EMBASSY CONSUL IS NOTIFIED SHORTLY AFTER DETENTION.

B. PRE-TRIAL DETENTION: THERE IS NO CUSTOMARY LENGTH OF PRE-TRIAL DETENTION. SUSPECT IS DETAINED, TAKEN TO JUDGE, AND DEPENDING ON COURT CALENDAR, TRIAL PROCESS BEGINS SHORTLY THEREAFTER. DEPENDING ON THE GRAVITY OF THE CHARGES, THE DEFENDANT MAY BE RELEASED UNDER BOND WHILE AWAITING TRIAL.

C. TRIAL PROCESS: AVERAGE LENGTH OF TRIAL PROCESS IS 1 YEAR INCLUDING TRIAL, REVIEW/CONFIRMATION PROCEEDING. THE APPELLATE PROCESS GENERALLY IS 2 MONTHS.

D. LEGAL CONSUL: PUBLIC DEFENDERS ARE NOT APPOINTED BY THE COURTS IN CHILE WHEN THE DEFENDANT IS UNABLE TO PAY THE COST OF RETAINING A LAWYER. THE DEFENDANT MAY, HOWEVER, REQUEST
UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 04 SANTIA 05574 261809Z

THE JUDICIAL ASSISTANCE SERVICE OF THE COLLEGE OF LAWYERS TO ASSIGN AN ATTORNEY TO HIS CASE AT NO COST. THE LAWYER ASSIGNED USUALLY OVERSEES A STUDENT OF LAW TO ACTUALLY HANDLE THE MECHANICS OF THE CASE. THERE ARE ADEQUATE LAWYERS TO TAKE NARCOTIC CASES, BUT WE SOMETIMES DOUBT THEIR QUALIFICATIONS. WE HAVE FOUND SOME LAWYERS REMISS IN APPLYING A PROVISION OF LAW THAT WOULD BENEFIT THEIR CLIENT'S CASE. THEIR FEES ARE SOMEWHAT HIGHER THAN FOR OTHER CRIMINAL CASES.

E. CONDITIONAL LIBERTY: A PROVISION FOR CONDITIONAL LIBERTY (PAROLE) DOES, IN PRACTICE, EXIST. DEPENDING ON CIRCUMSTANCE OF CASE, PRIOR RECORD OF DEFENDANT, ETC., INDIVIDUAL MAY BE RELEASED ON PAROLE AFTER SERVING 1/2 OF SENTENCE. THIS PROVISION, HOWEVER, IS GENERALLY NOT USED.

F. EXPULSION: IT IS NOT CUSTOMARY TO EXPEL MINOR OFFENDERS.
LANDAU

UNCLASSIFIED

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X
Capture Date: 01 jan 1994
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: LAW, NARCOTICS
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 26 jul 1978
Decaption Date: 01 jan 1960
Decaption Note:
Disposition Action: n/a
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment:
Disposition Date: 01 jan 1960
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1978SANTIA05574
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: n/a
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: N/A
Errors: N/A
Expiration:
Film Number: D780308-0958
Format: TEL
From: SANTIAGO
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1978/newtext/t19780718/aaaaapey.tel
Line Count: 151
Litigation Code IDs:
Litigation Codes:
Litigation History:
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM
Message ID: 79f9576c-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc
Office: ACTION SNM
Original Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 3
Previous Channel Indicators: n/a
Previous Classification: n/a
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: 78 STATE 170187, 77 SANTIAGO 2375
Retention: 0
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 18 apr 2005
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review Media Identifier:
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
SAS ID: 1879564
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: NARCOTICS LAWS
TAGS: SNAR, CI
To: STATE DEA
Type: TE
vdkgvwkey: odb://SAS/SAS.dbo.SAS_Docs/79f9576c-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc
Review Markings:
Sheryl P. Walter
Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
20 Mar 2014
Markings: Sheryl P. Walter Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 20 Mar 2014